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Executive Summary

- The coral reef ecosystem of the Coral Coast of Viti Levu, Fiji, has recently experienced massive growths of *Sargassum* macroalgae. This is threatening the health of the coastal lagoons and fringing reef, the future of the local tourist industry, and livelihoods of the communities living along the coast. Elevated nitrogen levels in coastal waters, in association with over fishing, has been identified as the key factor causing the recent proliferation of *Sargassum* macroalgae.

- In order to determine the major sources of nitrogen input to the Coral Coast and identify potential management strategies, we made estimates of nitrogen export from villages, village piggeries, and tourist resorts along the coast. Nitrogen export was estimated for the current situation (2004), the baseline situation twenty years ago before impacts were observed (1980), and for ten years in the future (2014). Inputs from major rivers and associated towns which discharge treated wastewaters into them were not assessed in the current study. Because the rivers discharge predominantly to the ocean via breaks in the reef, they were assumed to have less effect on the nutrient status of the coastal lagoons and near-shore areas. However, the fate and importance of these river outflows and town wastewater discharges, and the impact of changes in catchment land-use and management practices on nitrogen loadings to the coastal zone deserves further investigation.

- Nitrogen export from the Coral Coast was estimated to have increased by more than 60% in the past 20 years from the sources investigated. The coastal villages, which rely on onsite wastewater disposal, were found to be the dominant source of nitrogen accounting for ~57% of load to the coastal zone, followed by village piggeries (32% of N load) and tourist resorts (11% of N load). If nitrogen control measures are not adopted we project that, at the current rate of growth, nitrogen export in 2014 will be more than double the baseline 1984 levels. Widespread implementation of improved waste management practices has the potential to reduce nitrogen export to levels comparable to those estimated for 1985 –even assuming the current rate of growth in villages, piggeries and tourism.

- Nitrogen loads from villages are increasing as a result of rapid population growth along the coast, presumably fuelled by tourism-based employment. Toilet wastes are commonly disposed of directly into the sandy coastal soils without any form of treatment, via simple soak-away pits. Such practices result in high loadings of nitrogen into groundwaters that drain into coastal lagoons. Increased living standards and availability of piped water along the coast is leading to rapid replacement of pit latrines with flush toilets. The flushing of toilet wastes into soakaway pits is likely to increase nitrogen (and other contaminant) transport from human wastes into coastal waters. Such disposal systems are also highly prone to blockage and failure as a result of sludge accumulation, presenting serious potential human health risks.

- To provide safe and sustainable management of village wastewaters, we recommend adoption of septic tanks as a standard feature of all onsite disposal systems. Reducing nitrogen loads will
require supplementary treatment systems. We recommend the use of communal treatment systems incorporating recirculating sand or gravel filters (for nitrification), followed by subsurface-flow constructed wetlands or organic matter-rich filters (for denitrification) discharging to ground. Such systems should be capable of 70-80% N removal under tropical conditions. More moderate levels of nitrogen removal (40-50%) are also possible using constructed wetlands alone after septic tanks. Demonstration projects are required to promote and test these technologies under local conditions. In the longer-term, creation of a demonstration, research and training centre for onsite wastewater treatment technologies should be considered to promote and support improved waste management practices in Fiji.

- Pigs generate large quantities of nitrogen-rich wastes. Small piggeries associated with the coastal villages are commonly situated along gullies and small streams, allowing wastes to be readily transported to the coast with negligible attenuation of nitrogen loads. Zoonotic pathogens and parasites from these systems also pose significant human health risks to villagers and other users of the coast.

- Re-siting of piggeries away from streams and ephemeral channels, and use of vegetative filters and buffer zones is recommended to reduce N export to coastal waters. In the longer-term, more sustainable production and waste management systems will be needed to deal adequately with this traditionally important aspect of the Fijian culture and economy. “In-pen”, sawdust-bed composting systems hold particular promise, as they eliminate the need for disposal into waterways, and enable recycling of the wastes as an organic fertiliser and soil amendment.

- Hotels and resorts along the Coral Coast use a variety of onsite and reticulated wastewater treatment systems, which provide varying levels of nitrogen removal. Recent surveys show that some hotels and resorts require improvements to their waste treatment systems. However, a number of the larger hotels have reasonably comprehensive treatment systems with final re-use of the treated wastewater for slow-rate irrigation of golf courses and gardens. Providing application rates and practices are appropriate, this is an ideal way to reduce nitrogen loadings to the coastal zone and reduce human and environmental health risks. Development of appropriate treatment guidelines incorporating nutrient removal targets is recommended for hotels and resorts along the Coral Coast. This should be backed up by on-going monitoring and assessment to ensure standards are being met.

- Most of the smaller hotels use septic tank-soil disposal systems for onsite treatment of wastewaters. Such systems provide minimal nitrogen removal. There is a need, therefore, to identify and demonstrate supplementary nitrogen removal technologies suitable to supplement these systems. Prime options to be considered include recirculating sand/gravel/fabric filters coupled to subsurface-flow constructed wetlands or drip irrigation systems, and various package treatment plants. However, caution is warranted to ensure new technologies are adequately tested and monitored to ensure they reliably achieve desired treatment levels.
Ongoing work with the University of the South Pacific will continue to identify, promote, and, where possible, demonstrate improved wastewater management and nitrogen control technologies appropriate for villages, piggeries, and tourist facilities along the Coral Coast of Fiji.
1. **Study brief**

This report contributes to an Integrated Catchment Management (ICM) Program initiated by the Coastal Resources Center, University of Rhode Island and the Institute of Applied Science, University of the South Pacific, Fiji. It is funded by a grant from The David and Lucile Packard Foundation Conservation Program for the Western Pacific. The goals of the overall programme are to:

1. Demonstrate how ICM can be implemented effectively to address Fiji's pressing national coastal management issues through the development of an action strategy for the Coral Coast (located along the Southwest coast of Viti Levu).

2. Learn from Fiji's Coral Coast demonstration site how specific coastal issues can be addressed and develop a constituency at the national and provincial level for the development and adoption of a national policy framework for ICM.

3. Build the capacity required within selected provincial and government entities, districts and at the Institute for Applied Science at the University of the South Pacific (IAS-USP) through the use of training, mentoring and in-field staff support.

As part of development of the Coral Coast Action Strategy, excessive eutrophication of coastal waters and its negative impacts on the health the fringing coral reefs has been identified as a major problem. The sources of excessive eutrophication have been identified as discharges from large-scale hotel facilities, agricultural and waste runoff from streams and rivers as well as groundwater seepage onto reef flats from coastal household septic systems and village piggeries. The current study focuses on nutrient loading to groundwater and subsequent seepage onto reefs emanating from household wastewater discharges within the numerous villages located along the coast.

This report summarises our initial observations and recommendations based on a one-week visit to Viti Levu in May 2004 to assess the situation. A major objective of the current study is to develop and test a pilot household wastewater treatment system that reduces nutrient loading into the ground water and onto coral reefs that is technologically, culturally and economically feasible in the Fijian context, and has the potential to be widely adopted in villages along the Coral Coast. In addition, recommendations are also proposed for reduction of nutrient loadings from village
piggeries, and from small hotels and resorts on the Coral Coast. It is likely that much of this information will also be relevant to other populated and rapidly developing regions of other islands in the Pacific.

2. Introduction

The coral reef ecosystem of the Coral Coast of Viti Levu, Fiji, a popular tourist destination, has recently been overwhelmed by massive growths of the macroalgae, *Sargassum sp* (Figs. 1 and 2). Mosley and Aalbersberg (2003) suggest that a combination of elevated seawater nitrogen concentrations and over-fishing are likely to be the key factors that have triggered this profound phase shift in community structure. These, and other interacting exploitation, pollution, disease, and climatic factors have been implicated in coral reef decline around the world (Bellwood et al. 2004).
Figure 1: *Sargassum* algal proliferation within the coral reef lagoons of the Coral Coast of Fiji in May 2004. (Photo: Brian Crawford, URI).

Figure 2: Dense surface-reaching growths of *Sargassum* algae on the Coral Coast of Fiji in May 2004. (Photo: Brian Crawford, URI).
3. Identifying and Managing Nitrogen Sources to the Coral Coast

3.1 Projections of N export

Nutrient budgets are frequently used in watershed management to compare the relative impacts of different land use practices on water quality, and assess the value of different nutrient management strategies. We estimated the export of nitrogen from activities along the Coral Coast of Fiji for 1984, 2004, and 2014 (Figure 3). We selected 1984 as our baseline condition, as this date is 5 to 10 years before the first reports of macroalgae proliferation on the fringing reefs of the Coral Coast and provides insight as to what a sustainable level of nitrogen export may be for this coastal zone. We also estimated potential nitrogen export for the year 2014 for two different management scenarios:

i) a “no action” scenario, where growth (2.7% per year) continues without any change in management practices;

ii) a “best management” scenario, where growth continues but nitrogen control technologies are widely adopted for both villages and resorts.

Our N export scenarios focus on three sources:

i) human wastewater from villages relying on onsite wastewater disposal (not connected to municipal wastewater collection and treatment);

ii) small piggery operations associated with the villages;

iii) human wastewater from wastewater treatment systems of resort hotels (not connected to municipal wastewater collection and treatment).

3.1.1 Caveats

We restricted our nitrogen export analysis to several key sources that directly enter the coastal lagoons along the Coral Coast. Inputs from the Sigatoka municipal wastewater treatment plant to the Sigatoka River are not included and we have also not considered any riverine inputs. We lacked data on these sources – and were informed that significant riverine sources generally travel to the open ocean through natural channels within the reef, potentially bypassing the fringing reef ecosystem (Aalbersberg, IAS,
personal communication). We encourage studies on the hydrodynamics of these riverine sources to determine their importance to nitrogen dynamics on the fringing reef, and to determine the potential benefits of controlling such riverine nitrogen export. In addition, we suggest that nitrogen inputs from the Sigatoka Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant be quantified to determine if additional nitrogen removal is warranted. Our focus on the coastal lands was also stimulated by information we received that little change in inland watershed practices has occurred in the past 20 years, suggesting that the recent changes to the coral reef ecosystem are less likely to be related to factors occurring in the inland watershed. This assumption also needs to be critically examined.

3.1.2 Approach

For each source, we estimated the nitrogen load, export coefficient and potential N export to coastal waters. The load represents the input mass per year of nitrogen generated along the coast zone. The export coefficient is the ratio of the input mass divided by the mass that actually reaches coastal waters. It accounts for losses that occur during transmission due to biological, physical and chemical transformations. The potential nitrogen export (kg per year) from these sources to the near shore coastal waters of the lagoons and fringing reef is the product of the load and export coefficient.

3.2 Village wastewater

Human wastewater contains approximately 4 kg N per person per year (Gold and Sims, 2001; Henze et al., 1995; Crites and Tchobanoglous, 1998). In the villages of the Coral Coast, wastewater treatment occurs through onsite soil disposal systems, generally located on sandy soils with shallow groundwater within 100 m from surface waters. These conditions increase the potential for loss of wastewater-derived nitrogen to coastal waters. We estimate that 70% of the nitrogen loading (0.7 export coefficient) from household wastewater in the villages reaches coastal waters (30% loss). We assume that nitrogen losses occur within the soak-away pits (2/3 of the total loss) and as the wastewater plumes move through the groundwater towards surface water (1/3 of the total loss). Our estimate is based on the work of Siegrist and Jenssen (1989) who found that septic tanks and soil absorption systems remove 20% of the nitrogen in household wastewater. This includes losses due to settling and periodic pumping of seepage from septic tanks as well losses due to denitrification within the soil system. Our estimate of 10% loss within the groundwater is based on studies that
found minimal nitrate loss in septic system groundwater plumes moving through sandy soils (Keeney, 1986; Robertson et al. 1991 Bunnell et al. 1999).

For the best management scenario in 2014 we assume that village wastewater treatment will be upgraded to onsite nitrogen removal systems. These systems can be expected to achieve an average of 50% nitrogen removal before the effluent enters the groundwater. By combining this removal with our earlier estimate of 10% nitrogen loss within the groundwater system, we obtain an export coefficient of 0.4 for village wastewater nitrogen.

The growth rate in coastal districts is substantially higher than the national average of 0.8 % per year. Village population estimates for the Coral Coast were obtained from 1994 Fijian government census data (4280 population in 1994). A 2.7% annual population growth rate was assumed for all scenarios, calculated from census data as the average annual growth rate of coastal districts along the coral coast from 1966–1994.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Coral Coast Village Population1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>3280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>5587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>7288</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Data obtained from 1994 census and extrapolated to target years by assuming a 2.7% annual growth rate.

3.3 Small piggeries

Piggeries in the coastal villages are generally located within 100 m from the ocean and are perched on the edge of gullies and small stream channels. They can contribute substantial nitrogen loads to coastal waters. Pigs generate faeces and urine containing ~9.5 kg N per year per 50 kg mass of pig (ASAE, 2000). Spilled feed waste is likely to increase this by 10-20% (NZAEI, 1984) increasing the nitrogen load per 50 kg mass of pig to ~12 kg N per year, or three times the load per human. Village piggeries lack any form of treatment system and the waste either drops directly into the river, onto the river bank or is washed into the river channel through drain pipes. Sommer et al. (1993) and Bos and de Wit (1996) found that approximately 20% of the nitrogen excreted by pigs is lost to the atmosphere due to ammonia volatilization. The
remaining nitrogen has little opportunity for transformation or loss before it is carried to the coast with rainfall generated runoff. We used an export coefficient of 0.8 to represent the risk of delivery of piggery nitrogen to coastal waters.

To determine the number of pigs on the Coral Coast, we extrapolated results from our own 2004 field reconnaissance in one village (Tagaqe) and a 2004 piggery survey conducted by IAS. As of 2004, we assume each village contains an average of two piggeries with 10 adult pigs (50 kg each) and 30 juvenile pigs (17 kg each), roughly equivalent to a total of 20.2 adult pigs (50 kg each) per piggery. With 22 villages in the Coral Coast we estimate that 889 pigs are contributing nitrogen to the coral coast in 2004. For 1984 and 2014 nitrogen export scenarios, we assumed the same rate of annual population change as for the villagers (2.7% per year).

For the best management scenario in 2014, we assume that many villages will adopt in-situ shallow or deep-bed composting systems (also known as the pig-on-litter system; Shilton, 1992; Tiquia et al., 1997b; Tiquia et al., 1997a; Imbeah, 1998; Tiquia et al., 1998; Payne, 2000) for most of their piggeries. We expect that composting and land application can substantially reduce piggery waste discharge into surface waters, as well as providing for beneficial reuse of the waste as an agricultural fertiliser and soil conditioner. We selected an export coefficient of 0.25 to reflect a widespread adoption of these types of practices.

Table 2: Estimated numbers of pigs along the Coral Coast.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Coral Coast Pig Estimates&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1,156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>2</sup> Data obtained from field surveys in 2004 and extrapolated to other years by assuming a 2.7% annual rate of change. Pig numbers are expressed in 50 kg mass equivalents.

3.4 Resort hotels

Each resort hotel along the Coral Coast has developed its own approach for treating human wastewater. Treatment ranges from septic tank/soil absorption systems that serve either individual rooms or clusters of rooms to package plants of mechanized aerobic treatment units that receive and treat wastewater from the entire establishment. The two largest hotels have package treatment plants and use the final effluent for irrigation, providing a high level of nitrogen removal. We estimated a 50% export
coefficient for hotel wastewater – to account for range of treatment systems found in the hotels.

For our input calculations, we used the standard nitrogen loading of 4 kg of nitrogen per year per person. Human occupancy in 2004 was estimated to be equivalent to a year round population of 1500 individuals. This estimate was derived through two different approaches suggested by Dr. Bill Aalbersberg, Director of IAS:

i) 1147 hotel rooms (Fiji Tourist Development Plan, 1996), 70% occupancy, 2 people per room. This is equivalent to a year round population of ~1600 individuals.

ii) Annual number of guests per year at hotels of the Coral Coast: 100,000 to 120,000. Average stay: 5 days. This is equivalent to a year round population of 1370 to 1640 individuals.

For 1984 and 2014 nitrogen export scenarios, we assumed that tourist numbers along the Coral Coast were increasing at the same rate as for the village population (2.7%).

For our best management scenario in 2014 we assume an export coefficient of 0.3. This assumes that resort hotels will upgrade their wastewater treatment system to include nitrogen removal and will improve their capacity to reuse wastewater for irrigation or other purposes.

Table 3: Number of Tourists (full time equivalents per year) along the Coral Coast.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Coral Coast Tourist population equivalents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1957</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data for 2004 estimates obtained from IAS and extrapolated to other years by assuming a 2.7% annual growth rate.

3.5 Control of nitrogen export

The mass balance analyses (Figure 3) suggest that nitrogen export from the coastal land use practices assessed in this report have increased by more than 60% in the past 20 years. If nitrogen control measures are not adopted, at the current rate of growth, we project that nitrogen export in 2014 will be more than double the 1984 levels.
We are pleased to note that the adoption of widespread nitrogen control practices has the potential to reduce nitrogen export. With controls, nitrogen export in 2014 could be comparable to 1984 levels – even assuming the current rate of growth in the villages, piggeries and hotel business. **We believe that public investment in nitrogen controls for villages and piggeries will be required if nitrogen export to the coral coast is to be reduced.** Villages export considerably more nitrogen to the coastal waters than the resorts – and there appears to be scant resources within the villages to address the problem. However, because of the strong level of village organization and the relatively dense village development patterns, a public initiative that focuses on village wastewater and piggeries could yield major results in a short period of time.

**Figure 3:** Comparison of N export to the Coral Coast from key coastal sources, excluding rivers and streams.
4. Village Wastewater Issues

4.1 Current situation

Villages along the Coral Coast rely on individual sewage disposal systems to treat household wastewaters. A combination of site factors, economics and infrastructure present challenges for treating household wastewater to levels that protect sanitation and reduce nitrogen loading to the coastal waters. These include:

- **Dwelling units that are clustered together along the shoreline** with little adjacent land available for individual sewage disposal systems. Many dwelling units are within 5 m of the shoreline and are 5–10 m from neighbouring houses or outbuildings.

Figure 4: Village houses and associated latrines (circled) crowded along the lagoon shoreline within ~10 m of beach high tide level. (Photo: Chris Tanner).

- **Onsite disposal designs often lack septic tanks.** Septic tanks have been a standard feature of onsite wastewater disposal in the U.S., Europe and
Australasia since the 1950’s. They serve to settle solids, and anaerobically degrade and mineralise organic matter before disposal via soak-away pits (cesspools) or (more commonly) soil absorption fields. Properly managed septic tanks (with periodic septage removal) increase the longevity of cesspits and soil absorption systems. Without septic tanks, wastewater disposal systems are prone to clogging, backup and surfacing of untreated wastewater. As well as a practical nuisance, this can result in exposure of people and domestic animals to pathogen-infected wastewater with serious human health risks. In Fiji villages, septic tanks appear to be a relatively uncommon technology, and villagers lack information on their value and design specifications. We observed only one septic tank (concrete) in the village we visited (Tagaqe). Environmental health officials in Fiji are working to increase the use of septic tanks in villages and have included a demonstration septic tank as a part of the onsite wastewater education project that is underway at the Fiji School of Medicine Environmental Health School in Suva. It is important to ensure that systems promoted through this project are good examples of design, construction and management practices for Fiji conditions. Effluent filters have proved to be a cost-effective means of substantially reducing suspended solids carry-over from septic tanks, and protecting the infiltration capacity of soil absorption fields and should be considered for inclusion in this demonstration project.

**Figure 5:** Demonstration two-chamber septic tank being constructed at the Fiji School of Medicine Environmental Health School in Suva (May 2004). One of the key issues with on-site fabrication of septic tanks is achieving a watertight chamber, so as to...
avoid seepage losses direct to groundwater. Corners, joints and pipe entry and exit points are potential problem areas. (Photo: Chris Tanner).

Figure 6: Village water garden receiving bathroom and laundry greywater from a cluster of surrounding houses. (Photo: Chris Tanner).

- **Households are large and contain more than 5 persons per dwelling unit.** The nutrient loading to an individual house wastewater disposal system will be almost twice as high as in the U.S.

- **Villages are rapidly converting from pit privies to flush toilets leading to soak-away pits.** With the advent of public water systems, there is an active campaign by the government to encourage flush toilets, which use substantially more (12-40 L, average ~15 L per flush) carriage water than “pour flush water-seal” toilets (2 L per flush; Water Supply and Sanitation Collaborative Council, Geneva, Switzerland, [www.wssc.org](http://www.wssc.org)). Although both designs dispose wastewater directly into a soil pit, the increased carriage water of flush toilets will markedly increase the rate of wastewater flow through the soil. Flush toilets and soak-away pits can generate plumes of nutrient and pathogen rich effluent that move rapidly through sandy soil to coastal waters. The flush toilets are favoured because their convenience assures better
sanitation. One advantage of village wastewater practices is that only blackwater (toilet wastes) is normally directed toward soak-away pits. This reduces the extent of carriage water and rate of soil transmission compared to comparable Western situations. Greywater (bathroom, laundry and kitchen waters) contains only a small proportion of household nitrogen and pathogens and is directed to small “water gardens” directly adjacent to the home.

Figure 7: Village individual household greywater treatment garden. (Photo: Chris Tanner).

- **There is a lack of funding available to upgrade onsite wastewater treatment.** Improvements to wastewater treatment are occurring in the villages through the efforts of volunteer women’s cooperative groups. These groups are installing the flush toilets connected to low-cost soak-away pits. The soak-away pits are made of perforated 40-gallon metal drums (for solid settling) that are surrounded by coral rock (Fig. 9). These systems have low sludge storage capacity and are poorly designed for efficient septage removal. They are susceptible to clogging and overflow, and are therefore likely to have a restricted operational life and create serious health and environmental risks. Such systems provide minimal protection against groundwater contamination.
and are likely to pollute nearby beaches and lagoon waters. In comparison to these simple systems, septic tanks represent a relatively large capital investment.

![Figure 8: Clogged village household greywater seepage area showing ponding (circled) of contaminated water. Such areas pose serious risks in terms of contact and transmission of pathogens, as well as potential to generate odour and insect pest nuisances (Photo: Chris Tanner).](image)

Sewering and transmitting village wastewater to a central municipal wastewater treatment plan is an unlikely option for the entire coral coast. Although the community of Sigatoka has a municipal wastewater treatment plant, sewerer areas are focused within several kilometres of the plant, and progress with planned extension of the reticulated area has been very slow. Extending the sewer lines to the villages along the coral coast will be very costly to the municipality and to the village residents. Village residents could be faced with high costs for “hook-up” (connection to the sewer) as well as annual fees. If sewers do become available, it is not clear if individual residents would be required to connect to the sewer. In addition to constraints on village acceptance, hotels along the coral coast may have little incentive to hook up to a municipal sewer/centralized treatment system. Many of the hotels
have already constructed modern wastewater treatment systems at considerable expense and often value the wastewater as a prime source of irrigation water for their landscaping and golf courses. Planners should explore the feasibility of extending of the Sigatoka sewer to coastal villages, hotels and developments adjacent to the existing sewered areas – and to continue to encourage the return of treated wastewater for use as irrigation water for the hotels. Additional land application, via irrigation, will minimize direct wastewater discharge and reduce the risks of nutrient contamination to coastal waters. Sigatoka municipality is to be commended for incorporating land treatment into their wastewater treatment. A portion of the treated wastewater from the Sigatoka plant is currently piped to a hotel development within 5 km of the plant.

Figure 9: Recently constructed, low-cost village household soakage pit awaiting connection to flush toilet system. The soakage pit comprises two perforated 200L steel drums set one above the other in a large hole, surrounded by coarse coral rock. The base of upper drum and top of lower drum are removed to create a single chamber. (Photo: Chris Tanner).
Return of nutrients to land via irrigation is an ideal disposal option providing it is done at sustainable loading rates to prevent surface runoff and minimize leaching losses (Crites and Tchobanoglous, 1998; NZLTC, 2000). Hydraulic application rates and nitrogen loading rates should be checked and monitored for existing and new irrigation schemes. As a preliminary guideline for sandy coastal soils N loading rates should not be more than 100 kg N per year and application rates should probably not exceed 20-30 mm d\(^{-1}\) (Note: these are only rough guidelines; specific local guidelines should be developed taking account of local soil characteristics and climate). Higher N loading rates of 200-300 kg N per year are likely to be possible on land managed for productive cropping or grazing (Crites and Tchobanoglous, 1998; NZLTC, 2000). Suitable treatment levels and health precautions will also be required to protect farm-workers, neighbours, consumers, and livestock.

**Figure 10:** Recently connected village household soakage pit for toilet waste disposal. The still incomplete system has been partially uncovered to show the construction method used. Coarse coral rock is visible surrounding the central perforated steel drum. Note the close proximity to the toilet building. (Photo: Chris Tanner).
4.2 Recommendations

4.2.1 Basic onsite treatment requirements

Septic tanks should be promoted as a standard feature of all onsite sewage disposal systems, particularly where flush toilets are installed. It appears that village septic tanks are presently fabricated mainly at the site. To ensure they are properly built they should be pre-tested before being put into operation to assure that the tanks are watertight. Prefabricated plastic tanks with effluent filters are increasingly common in the U.S., and Australasia. These tanks warrant consideration for village use, due to their portability, ease of use and reliability. IAS and the Ministry of Health should encourage the development of standard design guidelines for village blackwater and greywater management.

4.2.2 Reducing nitrogen loads from village wastewater discharges

Conventional septic tank-soil disposal systems are not designed to remove nitrogen from household wastewater, generally removing nil or at most 10% of influent N (Gardner et al., 1997; Crites and Tchobanoglous, 1998). Nitrogen removal for on-site wastewater disposal requires additional design components that augment septic tank-soil disposal systems. Each person in a household generates wastes containing ~4 (range 3-7) kg of nitrogen per year (Gold and Sims, 2001; Henze et al., 1995; Crites and Tchobanoglous, 1998), commonly resulting in daily N loads of 10-13 g N per day (Crites and Tchobanoglous, 1998; NZLTC, 2000). Thus, wastewater from a household of five individuals will generate ~60 g N per day or 20 kg N per year.

Subsurface-flow constructed wetlands, comprising gravel-beds planted with emergent aquatic plants, offer a relatively simple option for further treatment of septic tank effluents. In operation, such systems have been shown to reduce BOD, suspended solids and faecal indicator bacteria levels in domestic septic tank effluents by at least 70%, but TN removal is commonly in the range of ~40-50% (Axler et al., 2001; Davidson et al., 2001; Steer et al., 2002; Thom et al., 1998). Nitrogen removal in these types of wetland treatment system occurs predominantly via denitrification, with overall N removal generally limited (in the relatively anaerobic conditions of the wetland) by initial nitrification of the ammonium-rich septic tank effluents (Tanner 2004).

Higher levels of microbial nitrogen removal processes generally require that the wastewater move through an aerobic environment (where the nitrification process
converts ammonium to nitrate) and then through an anoxic (low oxygen) environment that is rich in organic carbon (where nitrate is converted to nitrogen gas through the denitrification process) (Crites and Tchobanoglous, 1998; Henze et al. 1995). Initial nitrification may be achieved during soil infiltration under appropriate conditions, converting ammonium N to nitrate. However, in most onsite wastewater disposal systems the full sequence of conditions for nitrogen removal, particularly the latter, occurs to only a limited degree before the groundwater plume reaches surface waters. Reported N removal during soil infiltration is in the range of 10-40% (USEPA 2002), but under conditions common in coastal Fijian villages (sandy, low organic matter soils) is likely to be at the lower end of this range (Keeney, 1986; Robertson et al. 1991 Bunnell et al. 1999). The proven practical methods of onsite nitrogen removal available generally involve further treatment of septic tank effluent by passage through an aerobic sand filter (or other porous media, such as gravel) to promote nitrification (van Buuren et al., 1999, USEPA, 2002; Whitehall et al., 2003). Sand filters need to be carefully constructed to minimize the risk of clogging and surface ponding. This is then followed by a denitrification stage, achieved either by recycling back to the septic tank, mixing with greywater, or passage through an anaerobic, organic rich environment (such as a wetland or a flooded sawdust, woodchip or peat bed).

The challenge for Fijian villages is substantial. We are asking them to move from pit privies to onsite nitrogen removal systems – a set of technological changes that took more than 50 years (and is still occurring) in the U.S. and Australasia. To facilitate this process we recommend construction and evaluation of a demonstration household nitrogen removal system.

4.3 Village demonstration project

4.3.1 Proposed design

In conjunction with the Institute of Applied Sciences at the University of the South Pacific we propose to construct a demonstration nitrogen removal system at the homes of the village chief and his family for household wastewater disposal. Because of the communal nature of village life, proximity of houses and land constraints, we consider that linking a number of household septic tanks to shared multi-household filter systems will be the most cost-effective and viable option. Thus the proposed demonstration project should be considered as a pilot system that can, in the future, be upscaled for multi-household use. The system will consist of a septic tank that links to a recirculating sand-filter and constructed wetland, before seepage to ground (Figure
11). Grey water will also be treated in the constructed wetland, providing an additional source of readily available organic matter to promote denitrification. The sand filter will serve to reduce residual levels of suspended solids (SS), organic matter (measured as Chemical or Biochemical Oxygen Demand, COD or BOD), pathogens and nitrify the wastewater. Every effort should be made to identify and use appropriately graded sand media (0.5-1 mm) that contains few fine particles (<5%, preferably <5%, smaller than 0.3 mm and <1% smaller than 0.1 mm). Clogging and hydraulic failure can result when too much of the sand passes through a #100 sieve (0.15 mm). Low loading rates (< 50 litres/m²) are recommended if the sand media contains > 10% fines (Converse and Tyler, 2000).

Alternatively, if getting appropriate filter sand proves to be a major problem, we would consider a gravel-based recirculating filter. Because septic tank wastes in the villages are restricted to blackwater, the recirculation filter must be designed for high strength wastewater and gravel systems may be preferred. Media specifications for recirculating gravel filters (WSDH, 2000) include: Effective gravel size: 3-5 mm, washed <9.5 mm 100%; 4.75 mm 0-95%; 2.36 mm 0-2%; 0.6 mm 0-0.1% Uniformity coefficient ≤2.

The sand filters need to be intermittently pressure-dosed to disperse effluent evenly across the distribution system, keep the pipe outlet orifices free of biological growths, avoid media clogging and promote nitrification. If these distribution systems do not function properly then frequent maintenance is likely to be required, and system lifetime and treatment performance will be affected. This is a serious issue where relatively strong blackwater is treated in the septic tank.

Operational experience shows that suitable dosing can be achieved either:

1. Passively using a dosing siphon from the septic tank (which doses ~ 100 mm of septic tank water depth at a time; 300-350L). This requires a fall of 1.5-2 m between the water level in the septic tank and the top of the sand filter. This level of fall (or anything close to it) is unlikely to be realistic in most coastal village situations. One option where it may work is for houses raised up on poles, such as those we saw in flood-prone areas. Here the septic tank could be positioned on top of the ground (possibly even partially under the house) and the sand-filter constructed just below ground level. The standard dosing siphon assemblies for direct installation in septic tanks cost ~NZ/FJ$600 (US$400) as of June 2004.
2. Actively using a small dosing pump. These draw only 370 watts, have a 20-30 year lifetime and have proved extremely reliable in practice. They cost ~NZ/FJ$500 (US$333) and require a 240 V power supply and pump vault which can be positioned either inside or outside the septic tank.

The dosing pump is the method of choice for the village situation. It seems a relatively big expense for individual household systems, but becomes much more realistic for communal (multi-household) sand filter systems, which we believe are likely to be the most realistic option for the village situation.

Many components of the system will eventually be able to be adapted and constructed from materials available locally. However, for this experimental demonstration we propose to include a number of imported components to simplify construction, operation and management, and ensure that hardware failure does not limit performance. The imported purpose-built components we plan to use are:

1. A proprietary septic tank effluent filter. Effluent filters, which are placed in the outlet of the septic tank, have been increasingly used over the past decade to improve the quality of septic tank effluent and minimize solids carryover. This reduces the risk of clogging of the sand-media and fouling of the distribution system within the subsequent sand filter.

2. A dose pump to recirculate flow through the sand/gravel filter. The dosing pump will be placed in the recirculation chamber, between the septic tank and the sand/gravel filter. A time switch will activate the pump so that it rapidly doses a set volume of effluent into the distribution lines above the sand/gravel filter. This will provide uniform distribution of effluent across the filter and also create alternating periods of saturation and drainage that improve nitrification.

3. A ball valve flow splitter or similar to discharge the excess return flow from the sand filter when the recirculation chamber becomes full.

4. Distribution pipework by which to dose the sand filter.

5. Sampling ports will be designed into the system to assure easy access to the discharge from the septic tank, sand filter recirculation tank, greywater settling tank and constructed wetland.
4.3.2 NIWA/URI responsibilities

NIWA and URI will work with commercial providers of onsite wastewater technologies to develop design plans and a list of required materials for the system. NIWA will inform IAS of costs for components required from outside Fiji, will purchase those items on behalf of IAS if required and assure their delivery to IAS. After IAS has procured all other materials and secured the services of a suitable construction firm, Chris Tanner of NIWA will travel to the demonstration village to oversee construction (aim = November 2004). We recommend that IAS assign a junior staff member and/or good graduate to take responsibility for helping bring this project to fruition. As well as assisting with procurement of required supplies, liaison and coordination of personnel, this person would ideally also be involved in performance monitoring and maintenance of the treatment systems.

4.3.3 IAS responsibilities

i) IAS will be responsible for assuring that the design is suitable for village conditions, purchasing and securing all materials and assuring that the required labourers and materials are available at the village when Chris
Tanner comes to oversee construction. In addition, we ask that IAS provide basic information on the type of the soil surrounding the intended construction site. A simple hole to a depth of 1½ m will suffice to answer the following questions:

- Is the soil sandy or clay or mixed?
- Is groundwater observed (if so, note the depth from the ground surface) within the 1½ m hole? The hole will need to be left open and covered (for safety) for about a day before groundwater levels are observed.

ii) IAS will need to gather information on the availability of different types of sand/gravel aggregate required. Geoff Greene (+679-330-1139), the engineer who designed the Rain Tree Lodge’s wastewater treatment system (Dick Watley has more details) may be a good contact for sources and types of sand and gravel available.

iii) We hope to use some of the local sources of sand and gravel for part of the recirculating media filter. Different sources of concrete sand, sand mixes and gravel used for local construction will be considered. However, experience in the U.S. (Eliasson, 2002) has shown that many concrete sand mixes create clogging problems when used in sand filters. It would be very useful if IAS could obtain some samples of locally available sand mixes and ask either the geoscience or civil engineering departments at USP to run a sieve analysis on samples to obtain the textural distribution. We are interested in the uniformity of the sand/gravel (d_{60}/d_{10}) as well as the effective size (d_{10}). These are standard engineering properties used to assess the physical attributes of sands and gravels. The d_{10} is the diameter of particles that is larger than 10% of the total sample particles (by mass). The d_{60} is the diameter of particles that is larger than 60% of the total sample particles (by mass). We would welcome the opportunity to talk directly with any individuals who do this type of work to explain our needs.

iv) IAS will be responsible for sampling and analysis of wastewater exiting from the septic tank, and greywater settling tank, the recirculating sand/gravel filter, and the constructed wetland at the end of the treatment train. We expect that IAS will want to gather samples on a routine basis (monthly or bimonthly) and analyze samples for: faecal coliforms (or E.coli); nitrogen (TKN, ammonium; nitrate-nitrite); and chloride. Faecal coliforms are a standard
indicator for human pathogens and disease. Nitrogen component analyses provide insight into the constraints and effectiveness of the design for protecting coastal water quality. The chloride analysis should serve as a conservative tracer that permits insight into the extent of nitrogen decline caused by physical processes (dilution) vs. biological or chemical removal processes. Please note that treatment within a sand filter and wetland relies on a microbial ecosystem and the system will require several months of use before it is fully operational. Sampling and evaluation of system performance should begin after the system has been in operation for more than 2 months. We hope to be able to include some flow measuring devices to permit IAS to record effluent flow quantities that occur between sampling events. In addition to water sampling, IAS should evaluate the system for signs of ‘failure,’ e.g., wetness, ponding or odours.

4.4 Long term actions

4.4.1 Demonstration, research and training centre for onsite treatment

We recommend the creation of a University-based demonstration/research/training centre for onsite wastewater technologies to assess and develop appropriate technologies and share information. A centre could be the vehicle for the development of regulations, inspection procedures and training programs. Ideally, demonstration/research sites would be located in different regions of Fiji and engage all sectors of the Fijian community involved in village wastewater treatment. Potential audiences would include:

- Local decision makers, e.g., village chiefs.
- Public Health Officials.
- Licensed Plumbers.
- Construction Contractors.
- Village Residents.
- Women’s groups involved in community-level environmental sanitation initiatives.
We urge IAS to use such a centre to create simultaneous assessments of multiple onsite wastewater treatment designs in controlled settings. We have learned that subtle differences in design or maintenance are often the key to success or failure in system performance. Comparing the function, cost and maintenance requirements of multiple systems would greatly advance the adoption of reliable technologies by Fijian villages. For example, from our past experiences, we note that composting systems will work within a limited range of design and operational parameters – and will fail (e.g., become wet, anaerobic, malodorous insect-filled waste piles) if those parameters are violated. Controlled experiments can help define those boundaries within the climate and technology of Fiji and provide essential insights that will stimulate adoption and reliability of new technologies.

In the U.S. these types of demonstration centres are located within Universities and are organized into a group known as the Consortium of Institutes for Decentralized Wastewater Treatment. The following website describes the centres.

http://www.onsiteconsortium.org/

Quoting from this website:

“The Onsite Consortium is a group of Educational Institutions cooperating on decentralized wastewater training and research efforts. The Consortium also includes people from educational institutions, citizens groups, regulatory agencies and private industry.

As funding for centralized wastewater collection and treatment has diminished there has been a dramatic shift in interest among professionals and the public towards decentralized wastewater technologies which can be environmentally compatible and cost-effective.

The Consortium is working to provide curriculum that will expose university engineering and science-students to decentralized wastewater treatment options and to provide educational opportunities for citizens, decision-makers, regulators and consultants. An international effort is being coordinated to focus and encourage research and education about decentralized wastewater treatment and to:

- Conduct, coordinate, and standardize education and training, and research;
- Develop, standardize, and share education and training materials;
• Encourage interdisciplinary collaboration among engineering and the sciences;

• Advance commercialisation of new approaches and tools for wastewater treatment, and

• Promote multi-sector collaboration and communication among Consortium institutions, professionals, and the public.”

4.4.2 Village-scale treatment units

We recommend that communal (e.g., cluster) onsite treatment systems be considered to treat village wastewater. “Cluster” systems are frequently used for the type of compact residential development that characterizes Fijian villages. Wastewater from multiple homes could be collected and treated in a common sand filter and wetland. An entire village could be served by one system – or several community systems could be created that each receives wastewater from 3 – 15 homes. Individual dwellings would either have their own septic tank or their wastewater would flow to a common septic tank and be directed into a pumping chamber that leads to a intermittent or recirculating sand filter. The U.S. EPA has a fact sheet on sand filters that can be obtained at the following website:

(http://www.epa.gov/owm/mtb/decent/technology.htm).

Community-scale sand filter systems are comparatively simple – but they do require dosing pumps and appropriate media. The pumps provide pressurized dosing onto the sand filter, which assures uniform, intermittent application of wastewater – the key to aerobic sand treatment. An excellent dynamic simulation of what happens during the dosing cycle of a sand filter can be found at: (http://www.vdh.state.va.us/onsite/Howitworks/Fixed-Film/FixedFilm-1.htm). With the appropriate media, a recirculating sand filter can be relatively small and receive daily loadings up to 200 litres/m². An example of a recirculating sand filter for a village of 200 was created at Puerto Barrios, Guatemala in partnership with U.S. AID and Orenco Systems, Inc. More information on this system is available at: http://www.epa.gov/region4/sesd/reports/2001-0141.html

With community treatment, the cost per dwelling unit for a nitrogen removal septic system would be less than for individual systems. A large septic tank could offer storage in the event of power outages or pump failures. Additional pumps could be stored at the village for backup. Community wastewater treatment systems should be designed and installed by experienced professionals – with a clear understanding of village maintenance capacity. Innoflow Ltd of New Zealand (Agents for Orenco
Systems, Inc.) or similar companies that specialize in sand filter technologies should be approached for these efforts. Ideally, most of the village systems would be of similar design and materials, enabling the villages and local governments to share information on construction, operation and maintenance.

Community treatment offers several advantages:

i) Village wastewater treatment could be rapidly upgraded. This will require investment – but the investment will assure a high level of sanitation and nutrient removal at the village level.

ii) The use of open space is optimised. Each dwelling unit does not need to have a separate system. Instead, wastewater treatment can be placed in optimal locations, away from traffic and back from the coast. Central village green areas may be suitable for buried septic tanks and sand filter systems.

iii) Community sand filters have a long and successful record of treating wastewater in a relatively simple, low–maintenance (not ‘no maintenance’) fashion.

4.4.3 Operation and maintenance capability

Recommended maintenance for community and cluster treatment systems includes periodic pumping of sludge solids from the septic tank, weeding of the sand filter surface (if exposed to the surface), raking or replacement of the uppermost filter surface if clogging mats appear, and checking and maintenance of any leaks or clogging within the distribution system (USEPA, 2000). Any program that addresses wastewater at the village level will need to ensure that trained personnel are available for servicing and maintaining the village technologies. These individuals might be employees of the Sikatoka municipal wastewater treatment plant and “circuit-ride” the Coral Coast on a regular schedule to conduct periodic maintenance.

An ongoing training programme is needed for maintenance staff, initially to learn from similar situations overseas and build local capacity, and in the longer-term to share and pass on accumulated local knowledge and experience.
4.4.4 Groundwater tracer studies

We caution that field studies intended to track the fate of septic system plume can be complex, often requiring an intensive network of groundwater wells. IAS should partner with individuals experienced in detecting and sampling groundwater contamination or rely on similar studies (e.g., Crennan, 2001) conducted elsewhere within the South Pacific. A number of factors can confound groundwater tracking field experiments:

- Flow rates are often very slow and difficult to predict in advance of sampling. Crennan (2001) working in Tonga at a site with weakly cemented fine to coarse sands, found that Rhodamine-WT dye and a bromide tracer introduced into the shallow groundwater moved 4 cm/day and 36 cm/day, respectively. The Rhodamine dye produces a visible red colour in groundwater and was expected to serve as a useful demonstration of the risks of groundwater contamination from pit privies to wells. However, the Rhodamine dye was not detected in any full-scale field studies. It was only detected in wells located 30 cm from the point of introduction.

- Flowpaths strongly affected by variations in the texture of the saturated media. Plumes will follow the pathway of coarser layers of saturated media and may not move directly to the coastal waters.

- Other sources confound tracking efforts. In village situations, the nitrogen signal from a specific septic system may be obscured by the elevated background contamination that results from multiple dwelling units and errant animals.

- To determine the fate of onsite wastewater from the source to the sea could require a large number of wells, the addition of a tracer and intensive sampling. Robertson et al. (1991) required >250 wells to track the groundwater movement from a plume over a distance of 130 m.

4.4.5 Evaluating the role of rivers and streams

The limited scope of the present study has precluded proper investigation of fluxes of nutrients transported by rivers and streams to inner coastal zones from inland areas. We have assumed that rivers and streams with sufficient flow to retain an opening through the reef will transport the majority of their nutrient load predominantly to the
sea. However, the extent that such river waters are exchanged with that of surrounding lagoon and reef areas is unknown. It is important that the relative importance of such riverine sources is gauged, so that management actions are focused where they will have the most impact. In the first instance, information on river flows and nutrient concentrations should be investigated to calculate river nutrient fluxes and compare these with fluxes from other sources. Conductivity/salinity measurements adjacent to river outlets could be used to gauge the extent of mixing and dilution with lagoon waters. Hydrodynamic modelling and tracer studies of the fate of nutrients transported in these waterways should also be considered. A potentially useful approach may be to use the natural isotopic abundance ratios of reef corals and Sargassum to trace the origin of the nutrients contained in them (e.g., Heikoop et al., 2000; Steffy and Kilham, 2004). As well as tracing the source of the nutrients, such techniques may be useful in early identification of areas at risk (Heikoop et al., 2000).
5. Hotels

5.1 Small hotels

5.1.1 Current situation

Small hotels in Fiji use a variety of wastewater treatment systems (Institute of Applied Science, 2004). These systems are designed to treat wastewater from individual rooms, clusters of rooms or from the entire establishment. Based on information from the Fijian Department of Environmental Health, the designs include septic tank-soil disposal units, composting toilets and various automated package plants. While many of these systems can markedly improve wastewater treatment, the potential for failure presents considerable risks to human health and coastal water quality that warrant careful testing and evaluation. Specific questions include:

- What level of treatment will be achieved for nutrients, pathogens and organic wastes?

- How will performance vary with season (wet vs. dry) and different levels of loading?

- What are the frequency and types of maintenance or repair?

- How often does a system fail, e.g., create surface ponding or unexpected surface water discharge of partially treated effluent?

5.1.2 Recommendations

Immediate:

- Develop a monitoring and risk assessment program for small hotel treatment systems. As septic tanks provide only minimal N removal, there is a need to identify and demonstrate supplementary nitrogen removal technologies suitable for small hotel systems.

Medium Term:
• We suggest that any new wastewater system design be granted a trial (i.e., experimental) permit that restricts use of this technology to a specific location and specific time period, pending a performance test. The performance test should require participation in a two year, 3rd party assessment. For a new design, the small hotel and its engineering firm must agree to regular sampling by neutral (3rd party) evaluators and must create access ports to facilitate that sampling. Sampling should occur before the effluent is directed to its final destination, i.e., in advance of irrigation, soil discharge or surface discharge. The 3rd party evaluation should compare system performance to levels agreed upon by all parties in advance of construction.

Figure 10: Tourist bure at a small resort on the Coral Coast. Typically each bure accommodates 2 people, and groups of 2-3 bure share a septic tank and disposal zone. Lush ornamental gardens in the grounds offer potential for disposal and reuse of tertiary-treated effluents via drip irrigation. (Photo: Chris Tanner).
We urge the Fijian community of regulators, engineers, scientists and hoteliers to maintain a healthy scepticism of treatment levels and operational efficiencies promised by consultants for new system designs. Although the reliability of small onsite wastewater technologies has greatly improved over the past decade, promised levels of nutrient removal are often overblown. We also recommend that “final” effluent be used for irrigation or for subsurface wetland treatment systems to provide polishing and reduce the risks associated with treatment variability.

Reuse of treated wastewaters for irrigation of lawns and gardens around hotels, as practiced at some of the larger hotels such as The Fijian, is an ideal disposal strategy for many coastal areas along the Coral Coast. When irrigation is undertaken at correct hydraulic and contaminant loading rates, substantial quantities of nutrients and other residual contaminants can be removed by plants and soils. This practice also has the potential benefit of reducing demand for potable water along the coast. Guidelines for appropriate irrigation rates should be established for various soil types and situations common along the Coral Coast, and operators trained in their application.

5.1.3 NIWA/URI responsibilities

NIWA will facilitate contact with a New Zealand firm to develop design and cost estimates for a recirculating sand (or other suitable media) filter treatment system for the Crusoe Hotel. The system will receive effluent from existing septic tanks that receive wastewater from either two to three hotel bungalows, or from a cluster of bungalows serviced by 2-3 septic tanks. The details of the system are still to be finalized, but are likely to include an aerobic sand or textile filter for nitrification and pathogen removal, a dosing tank with a recirculating pump, (where sand/textile filter effluent mixes with septic tank effluent to induce denitrification), and final disposal to a treatment wetland garden or drip irrigation system. Design specifications will be established after contacts with Innoflow NZ or other suitable partners, and with NIWA onsite wastewater treatment consultants. NIWA will work with IAS to identify sources and costs of all required materials. Designs and cost estimates will be transmitted to IAS for approval.
5.1.4 IAS responsibilities

IAS will be responsible for assuring that the design is suitable for the Crusoe Hotel, purchasing and securing all materials and assuring that the required labourers and materials are available at the hotel site when the partner company comes to oversee construction. IAS will work with NIWA to identify sources and costs of all required materials.

IAS would be responsible for sampling and analysis of wastewater:

- from the septic tank effluent (in advance of mixing with the dosing tank);
- after media filtration;
- after any further treatment, such as a wetland, prior to soil disposal.

We would expect that IAS will want to gather samples on a routine basis (monthly or bimonthly) and analyze samples for: faecal coliforms; nitrogen (TKN, ammonium; nitrate-nitrate); and chloride. Please note that treatment relies on a microbial ecosystem and the system will require several months of use before it is fully operational. Faecal coliforms are a standard indicator for human pathogens and disease, nitrogen component analyses provide insight into the constraints and effectiveness of the design for protecting coastal water quality and the chloride analysis should serve as tracer that permits insight into the extent of nitrogen decline caused by physical processes (dilution) vs. biological or chemical removal processes. Sampling and evaluation of system performance should begin after the system has been in operation for more than 2 months. Ideally actual wastewater flows should also be measured, either after the septic tank or sand filter to quantify the actual volumes being treated. In addition to water sampling, IAS should evaluate the system for signs of wetness (evidence of leakage), ponding (evidence of fouling of the sand filter), odours or other nuisances.

5.2 Large hotels

Current waste management practices in hotels along the Coral Coast have been recently reviewed by the Institute of Applied Science (2004). We visited The Fijian and Hideaway hotels, which have comprehensive treatment systems in place, that represent best practice in the industry.
5.2.1 The Fijian

The hotel’s Chief Engineer Paul Bruce showed us around the Fijian Hotel system. He informed us that water usage at the hotel was very high at around 1 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$ per guest (3-5 times normal domestic water use rates), resulting in around 100 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$ of wastewater from the hotel as a whole. A recirculating oxidation ditch, activated sludge system is used for primary and secondary treatment of the hotel wastewaters (Fig. 12). The treated effluent then flows to two storage ponds (Fig. 13), the first of which is set up for recirculating flow through a cascade of small constructed wetlands situated on the hill above (Fig. 14). The treated wastewaters are then reused via spray irrigation onto the hotel’s golf course. Assuming supplies of freshwater are sufficient to support it and nutrient application rates are at levels able to be sustainably assimilated by plants and soils with minimal leaching, this would appear to be an ideal solution. It allows beneficial reuse of the treated wastewaters, whilst providing additional nutrient removal from the wastewaters before they make their way back to the sea.

The monitoring data available (Institute of Applied Science, 2004), suggests this treatment plant is generally achieving good effluent quality in terms of BOD and TN. It would be useful to evaluate the nutrient loading rates being applied via irrigation to the golf course areas, to see whether these are reasonable in relation to the nutrient uptake and retention capacity of the local soils and plants. For preliminary assessment, the volume of wastewater multiplied by the mean concentration of N should be divided by the irrigated area of the golf course to calculate an areal loading rate (see previous comments above on acceptable land application loading rates).
**Figure 12:** Recirculating oxidation ditch activated sludge wastewater treatment system in operation at the Fijian Hotel. (Photo: Chris Tanner).

**Figure 13:** One of two treated wastewater irrigation storage ponds at the Fijian Hotel. The treated wastewater is used to irrigate the hotel golf course. (Photo: Chris Tanner).
The three constructed wetlands operate as a stepped cascade through which treated wastewater from the initial storage pond is recirculated by pump. Aeration riffles are set up between each wetland and in the return flow to the pond. This recirculation system was not functioning at the time of our visit, because there was insufficient water available in the storage ponds. The wetlands had been set up 3-4 years ago by a Fijian NGO (FSP-Fiji; [http://www.livingwater.org.uk/lwet/pages/fiji/pro_fiji.htm#](http://www.livingwater.org.uk/lwet/pages/fiji/pro_fiji.htm#)) with technical input from the Living Water Charitable Trust in the UK (<http://www.livingwater.org.uk/lwfs.html>). Problems had been experienced with the prolific growths of the free-floating aquatic weed water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) that had established in the wetlands. These had been eventually removed to reduce management requirements.

At the time of our visit, water quality in the wetlands appeared very poor. Shading of the water surface by emergent aquatic plants was minimal, with only small clumps of plants confined in pots (Fig. 14). Lack of shading was resulting in algal proliferation in the wetland waters. Large numbers of *Tilapia* fish were also obvious during our visit, stirring up the flocculant organic bottom sediments. Despite a high public profile for this project initially (e.g., [http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/sci/tech/3141683.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/sci/tech/3141683.stm)), it appeared that it had ‘lost its way’ somewhat, and it was our perception that the operators of the wetlands were not clear on appropriate management objectives for the wetland treatment system.
Identification of clear operation and management objectives would help to make the best use of this facility. To improve the water quality function of these wetlands we recommend removal of the *Tilapia* fish and bottom planting of a variety of emergent sedges to cover at least half to two thirds of the wetland surface. It is preferable for the plants to be rooted in the bottom sediments of the wetland, with their partially immersed shoots growing up through the water rather than confined in pots. The plant stems growing in the water create a large surface area for biofilm growth, enhancing removal of organic matter and nutrients. Quiescent conditions within the dense beds of plants enhance settling and retention of suspended solids. Establishment of emergent plants in the base of the current wetlands may, however, be constrained by the concrete lining of the wetlands. Depending on the water depth above the concrete lining, planting may be possible in accumulated sediments. Otherwise, a large number of shallow pots or planting trays may be appropriate.
5.2.2 Hideaway

At the Hideaway Resort we were shown around a newly installed (but still not complete) Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR) package treatment system by the Manager Robert Wade. The New Zealand-sourced Reaman Industries SEBAS system (<http://www.reaman.co.nz/>), comprises a series of reactor tanks that sequence through cycles of aeration, rest and decantation. A final ultraviolet lamp unit is used for disinfection of the effluent, making it potentially suitable for garden irrigation and possible reuse for toilet flushing etc. The system installed incorporates an array of sensors and controls that allow it to be monitored and operated remotely from New Zealand via a telemetry system.

Properly functioning SBR systems such as these are able to provide high levels of BOD and SS removal, and are also capable (with optimisation) of significant nutrient removal. One of the big advantages of such systems is their relatively small land area requirements or physical ‘footprint’, and high level of process control. They, however, depend on significant electrical energy inputs to provide mechanical aeration and mixing of the wastewaters during treatment, and produce quantities of waste sludge that needs to be appropriately disposed of. This means that they have a relatively large ‘ecological footprint’ and require good management, technical expertise and back-up, to keep them operating properly. Operational performance and costs should ideally be monitored at this installation over the next few years to assess its practicality and cost-effectiveness under Fijian conditions.

Paul Wade was interested in the option of using a constructed wetland to provide further treatment of the effluent from this plant before discharge to the creek that flows around the hotel site. Having seen the Fijian Hotel wetland system, he had assumed that wetland treatment would require use of a cascade of wetland cells on an adjacent hillside. There are, however, a wide range of alternative wetland treatment designs and options available that may be more appropriate for this application (Kadlec & Knight, 1996; USEPA, 2000; <http://www.epa.gov/ordntrmt/ORD/NRMRL/Pubs/2001/wetlands/625r99010.pdf>).

We discussed the relative advantages of a gravity-fed subsurface-flow gravel-bed wetland that could be constructed in the field directly behind the wastewater treatment plant. This would comprise a 0.4-0.5 m deep bed of gravel planted with emergent wetland plants. The advantage with such a system is that it would be able to provide dependable final polishing and buffering of the treatment plant discharge, and would
avoid exposed open water. This option could be further explored once the plant is up running.
6. Nitrogen from Piggeries

6.1 Current situation

Small piggery operations are a traditional part of Fijian villages. Pigs are used in ceremonial meals and special occasions throughout the year. Piggeries may be increasing in size and number due to the availability of cheap, abundant food waste from hotels. We note that the Ministry of Environmental Health commented that food waste was required to be cooked before it could be used for pig feed, and suggested that this requirement might impede the use of this feed source for the piggeries. It would be very useful to gather information on the quantity, cost and nature of piggery food sources, and whether, in fact, they are being cooked before being used as pig feed. In addition to a potentially large food supply, markets for pork are developing in Sigatoka and other communities. More detailed information on the recent and projected trends in piggeries along the Coral Coast would help establish the potential impact of piggeries on human health and the coral ecosystems.

Figure 15: Small Village Piggery on the banks of a small coastal stream on the Coral Coast. (Photo: Chris Tanner).
Pigs are commonly housed in small pens, often located at the edge of streams and ephemeral creek channels (Figs.15-18). Village piggeries lack any form of treatment system and the waste either drops directly in the riverbank or is washed into the river channel through drainpipes. Waste accumulates in the channels and on the stream banks until it is carried to the sea by rainfall-generated runoff. Pig waste is a major source of nitrogen loading to the near shore waters of the Coral Coast (see Nitrogen Export Projection Budgets below) – and thus poses a risk to the sustainability of the coral ecosystem.

Figure 16: Moderate-sized (~30 pigs) village piggery on the banks of a small coastal stream on the Coral Coast. These concrete stalls were being washed down with a hose during our visit. The effluent pipes which drain directly to the stream are visible on the left hand side of the picture. (Photo: Chris Tanner).

Village piggeries have rightly been recognized as an environmental problem by IAS (2004 report by Dick Whatley), but they also present significant human health risks to villagers and users of nearby coastal waters. We observed children playing barefoot in vicinity of the piggeries of Tagaqe Village. Pigs and other livestock can be infected with numerous enteric pathogens and parasites (e.g., helminth worms) that are also
highly infectious to humans (Cole et al., 1999; Hill, 2003). Such zoonotic pathogens and parasites can be readily transmitted to humans through direct occupational exposure to faecal wastes or contaminated land, groundwaters and surface-waters. Exposure can also occur more indirectly through consumption of contaminated food sources, such as filter-feeding shellfish or waste-irrigated crops.

**Figure 17:** Collection of small village piggery enclosures (~25 pigs in total) situated approximately 20 m from beach high tide level. This area was in a depression where drainage from the adjacent hillside ponds during rainfall. Contaminated water from this site would then either seep as groundwater to the nearby beach or adjacent stream. (Photo: Chris Tanner).

### 6.2 Recommendations

**Immediate:**
• Move piggeries away from streams and ephemeral channels. This should enhance the potential for soil and vegetation to retain and treat wastes before it reaches surface waters and ravines.

**Longer Term:**

• Develop sustainable systems that promote improved production and reduce offsite waste contamination. NIWA will explore the design and management aspects of “in-pen” composting systems used in New Zealand. These systems hold promise for stabilizing piggery waste and eliminating disposal into surface waters.

• Build connections with other initiatives in the Pacific Islands that are focused on piggery management. A major university/governmental initiative focused on curtailing piggery contamination is now underway in American Samoa: [http://ag.arizona.edu/region9wq/cnmisuccess.htm](http://ag.arizona.edu/region9wq/cnmisuccess.htm)

**Figure 18:** Small household piggery (capacity ~4-10 pigs) situated on the banks of a tidal river. (Photo: Chris Tanner).
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