



USAID | GHANA
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

SUSTAINABLE FISHERIES MANAGEMENT PROJECT (SFMP)

Shama Disaster Management Committee Meeting and Field Work To Plan Anlo Beach Resettlement



April, 2016



Hen Mpoano



Friends of the Nation

**SNV SMART
DEVELOPMENT
WORKS**



**Sps | SPATIAL
SOLUTIONS**

This publication is available electronically on the Coastal Resources Center's website at http://www.crc.uri.edu/projects_page/ghanasfmp/

For more information on the Ghana Sustainable Fisheries Management Project, contact:

USAID/Ghana Sustainable Fisheries Management Project
Coastal Resources Center
Graduate School of Oceanography
University of Rhode Island
220 South Ferry Rd.
Narragansett, RI 02882 USA
Tel: 401-874-6224 Fax: 401-874-6920 Email: info@crc.uri.edu

Citation: Friends of the Nation (2015). Shama Disaster Management Committee Meeting and Field Work to Plan Anlo Beach Resettlement, The USAID/Ghana Sustainable Fisheries Management Project (SFMP). Narragansett, RI: Coastal Resources Center, Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island and Friends of the Nation, Adiembra – Parks and Gardens. GH2014_ACT085_FON 26 pp.

Authority/Disclaimer:

Prepared for USAID/Ghana under Cooperative Agreement (AID-641-A-15-00001) awarded on October 22, 2014 to the University of Rhode Island and entitled; the USAID/Ghana Sustainable Fisheries Management Project (SFMP).

This document is made possible by the support of the American People through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). The views expressed and opinions contained in this report are those of the SFMP team and are not intended as statements of policy of either USAID or the cooperating organizations. As such, the contents of this report are the sole responsibility of the SFMP Project team and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.

Cover photo: DCE of Shama District addressing committee members (Credit: Philip Prah, FoN)

Detailed Partner Contact Information:

USAID/Ghana Sustainable Fisheries Management Project (SFMP)
10 Obodai St., Mempeasem, East Legon, Accra, Ghana

Telephone: +233 0302 542497 Fax: +233 0302 542498

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| Maurice Knight | Chief of Party maurice@crc.uri.edu |
| Kofi Agbogah | Senior Fisheries Advisor kagbogah@henmpoano.org |
| Nii Odenkey Abbey | Communications Officer nii.sfmp@crcuri.org |
| Bakari Nyari | Monitoring and Evaluation Specialist hardinyari.sfmp@crcuri.org |
| Brian Crawford | Project Manager, CRC brian@crc.uri.edu |
| Justice Odoi | USAID Administrative Officer Representative Jodoi@usaid.gov |

Kofi.Agbogah
kagbogah@henmpoano.org
Stephen Kankam
skankam@henmpoano.org
Hen Mpoano
38 J. Cross Cole St. Windy Ridge
Takoradi, Ghana
233 312 020 701

Andre de Jager
adejager@snvworld.org
SNV Netherlands Development Organisation
#161, 10 Maseru Road,
E. Legon, Accra, Ghana
233 30 701 2440

Donkris Mevuta
Kyei Yamoah
info@fonghana.org
Friends of the Nation
Parks and Gardens
Adiembra-Sekondi, Ghana
233 312 046 180

Peter Owusu Donkor
Spatial Solutions
powusu-donkor@spatialdimension.net
#3 Third Nautical Close,
Nungua, Accra, Ghana
233 020 463 4488

Thomas Buck
tom@ssg-advisors.com
SSG Advisors
182 Main Street
Burlington, VT 05401
(802) 735-1162

Victoria C. Koomson
cewefia@gmail.com
CEWEFIA
B342 Bronyibima Estate
Elmina, Ghana
233 024 427 8377

Lydia Sasu
daawomen@daawomen.org
DAA
Darkuman Junction, Kaneshie Odokor
Highway
Accra, Ghana
233 302 315894

Gifty Asmah
giftyasmah@Daasgift.org
Daasgift Quality Foundation
Headmaster residence, Sekondi College
Sekondi, Western Region, Ghana
233 243 326 178

For additional information on partner activities:

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| CRC/URI: | http://www.crc.uri.edu |
| CEWEFIA: | http://cewefia.weebly.com/ |
| DAA: | http://womenthrive.org/development-action-association-daa |
| Daasgift: | https://www.facebook.com/pages/Daasgift-Quality-Foundation-ENGO/135372649846101 |
| Friends of the Nation: | http://www.fonghana.org |
| Hen Mpoano: | http://www.henmpoano.org |
| SNV: | http://www.snvworld.org/en/countries/ghana |
| SSG Advisors: | http://ssg-advisors.com/ |
| Spatial Solutions: | http://www.spatialsolutions.co/id1.html |

ACRONYMS

| | |
|-------|--|
| CRAN | Christian Rural Aid Network |
| CREW | Community Resilience through Early Warning |
| DCE | District Chief Executive |
| DMC | Disaster Management Committee |
| FoN | Friends of the Nation |
| GPS | Global Positioning System |
| NADMO | National Disaster Management Organisation |
| SDA | Shama District Assembly |
| SFMP | Sustainable Fisheries Management Project |
| SWOT | Strength, Weakness, Opportunity and Threat |
| UNDP | United Nations Development Program |
| USAID | United States Agency for International Development |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| ACRONYMS..... | ii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS..... | iii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | iii |
| LIST OF TABLES | iii |
| BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| MEETING WITH DISASTER MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE | 1 |
| FIELD EXERCISE TO COLLATE HOUSEHOLD INFORMATION | 2 |
| Land Ownership and Tenure..... | 4 |
| Households Exposed to Threats of Coastal Erosion and Flooding..... | 5 |
| Households Types | 7 |
| Conclusion | 21 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|---|---|
| Figure 1 Field officers being trained on data collection instrument | 3 |
| Figure 2 Field officers being trained on GPS technology..... | 3 |
| Figure 3 Field officers engaging a community resident of Anlo Beach | 4 |
| Figure 4 showing structure which is very close to river Pra and exposed to flooding | 5 |
| Figure 5 A collapsed structure due to effects of coastal erosion | 6 |
| Figure 6 A collapsed structure due to effects of flooding..... | 6 |
| Figure 7 Beach Sand being wined (excavated) for building..... | 7 |
| Figure 8 A structure built with bamboos and mangrove trees | 8 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|---|
| Table 1 Outcome of a quick SWOT analysis of the Disaster Management Committee..... | 2 |
| Table 2 Detailed description of houses and other facilities prone to flooding and coastal erosion..... | 9 |

BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION

The scoping and literature review exercised conducted by Friends of the Nation (FoN) under the Sustainable Fisheries Management Project (SFMP) recommended the need to conduct Strength, Weakness, Opportunity and Threat (SWOT) Analysis of some relevant committees who are connected in one way or the other to ensuring the management of natural resources and sustainable development of communities within coastal areas. Amongst these committees, the Disaster Management Committee (DMC) was identified. This committee is chaired by the District Chief Executive (DCE) who occupies the highest office in the district. The committee is made up of 8 members representing the Physical Planning and Engineering and Works Department of the assembly, Ghana Police Service, Ghana Fire Service, Ghana Health Service, Ghana Navy, and the National Disaster Management Organisation (NADMO).

MEETING WITH DISASTER MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

On Tuesday April 26, 2016, FoN facilitated and participated in an initial meeting with the DMC with an aim to conduct a SWOT analysis for the committee so as to guide further engagement, collaborations and interventions. It was the maiden meeting of the year though their meetings are expected to be held quarterly. The meeting which was conveyed as an emergency one had as its original agendum to discuss resettlement of Anlo Beach community.

At the meeting, it was informed that a plan existed for the resettlement scheme and was prepared way back 1997 and which is ready for implementation. For this reason, the Christian Rural Aid Network (CRAN) School was built at the proposed new site. However there are certain unanswered questions as to the total land size or number of plots or hectares under consideration and also a close estimate of the number of people this relocation effort need to target. Land ownership, tenure and lease were also not perfectly known by the committee and yet to be finalized. These questions needed to be answered to feed into a proposal expected to be submitted by the district assembly. This proposal was demanded by the United Nations Development Program (UNDP)/ NADMO's project titled Community Resilience through Early Warning (CREW).

The CREW project had earlier conducted an assessment which concluded that Anlo Beach community is highly flood prone. To make Anlo Beach resilient enough, a resettlement was proposed. Following through with the recommendations, a letter was sent to the district assembly to submit a proposal with technical details for consideration of relocating residents living close to the Pra River banks and the seashore. There were however some information gaps for proper proposal development. A quick SWOT (table 1) of the committee revealed that the committee did not have adequate capacity to conduct rapid assessment to fill the information gap. It was hence agreed that FoN facilitate a rapid assessment of the houses living close to the river banks and the shore. The transportation subsidy expected to be given the committee members was then used to conduct this field-based assessment.

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| STRENGTH | The committee is made up of very dignified heads of interdisciplinary institutions. NADMO representative serves as the secretary to this committee and has the capacity together with his team to conduct flood simulation mapping. |
| WEAKNESS | NADMO ¹ seems to be getting very little support from the District Assembly. They however seem to be less proactive. Again the field officers lack the basic skills of GPS usage. There was also the issue of inadequate capacity to conduct rapid assessment of households to feed into the development of a proposal |
| OPPORTUNITY | The DCE chairs this committee, hence important agenda could be pushed through with less stress and bureaucratic procedure. |
| THREAT | The committee has no detailed action plan to guide execution of their mandate |

Table 1 Outcome of a quick SWOT analysis of the Disaster Management Committee

FIELD EXERCISE TO COLLATE HOUSEHOLD INFORMATION

Following the urgent need for a rapid assessment of households in Anlo Beach close the river banks and the shore, FoN facilitated the immediate composition of a multi-departmental team for a field exercise. The team was drawn from departments such as the Physical Planning, Development Planning, Works, Community Development and NADMO, with FoN supporting with capacity training. Some community champions who had been trained by FoN on GPS and data collection also joined the two-day field exercise.

The rapid assessment focused on the following:

- Land ownership and tenure
- Number of households exposed to threats of coastal erosion and flooding
- Type of households or structures and
- GPS coordinates of the households or structures

The team were introduced to the purpose of the assessment. They were also trained on basic data collection techniques and the use of GPS to collate relevant data (Figures 1 and 2).

¹ It must be noted that the Disaster Management Committee has the NADMO as its pivot



Figure 1 Field officers being trained on data collection instrument



Figure 2 Field officers being trained on GPS technology

Land Ownership and Tenure

The proposed new site is not a property of the Anlo Beach community which is a settler community. The site was given to the Abradze family of Shama by the Shama Paramount chief years back. The land size is about twice the settlement of the current Anlo Beach settlement. From the rapid assessment, the owners of this land have given the land out for Anlo Beach community to be resettled on their land. However, there has not been any commitment in terms of written agreement whatsoever. Only some drinks were received as tradition demands in their culture.

Initially (about 5 years ago), the care-taker of the land only requested that one of the proposed apartments be built for him at the site as a compensation package. However, after his demise a few years ago, the family is requesting GH ₵ 8,000 as a compensation for the land.

The survey department of the District Assembly has already surveyed the land and developed a site plan with a much detailed plan for settlement and the processing of fish since fishing is the main occupation of the indigenous Anlo Beach settlers.



Figure 3 Field officers engaging a community resident of Anlo Beach

Households Exposed to Threats of Coastal Erosion and Flooding

The rapid assessment revealed about 146 houses that are so close to the banks of the Pra River and/or the shore of the sea. These were noted to be highly exposed to the threats of coastal erosion and riverine flooding (Figure 4). However, there were some uncounted houses which stand the chance of been threatened once the highly exposed ones are washed off or eroded. Some other houses which were noted to be extremely vulnerable to flood whether or not there is incidence of rainfall or coastal splashes were excluded from this assessment since they lie on the other side of the river close to Old Shama, known as 'Anwona Kwesi'. The counting also excluded houses that had already collapsed or suffered from the adverse effects coastal erosion and or flooding (Figures 5 and 6).



Figure 4 showing structure which is very close to river Pra and exposed to flooding



Figure 5 A collapsed structure due to effects of coastal erosion



Figure 6 A collapsed structure due to effects of flooding

Households Types

The identified houses were either made of Sandcrete, Mud, Bricks, or Mud bricks. These are built with wined beach sands (Figure 7) which increases the extent to sea erosion. Others were also made with bamboos and mangrove trees (Figure 8). They were either roofed with Asbestos, Thatches or Aluminum. Averagely, the houses have 4 to 5 rooms, with about 6 to 7 average occupants. GPS devices were used to pick exact locations of the various houses and structures. Details of all the identified households are in Table 2.



Figure 7 Beach Sand being wined (excavated) for building



Figure 8 A structure built with bamboos and mangrove trees

Table 2 Detailed description of houses and other facilities prone to flooding and coastal erosion

| HOUSE/FACILITY | HOUSE/FACILITY DESCRIPTION |
|----------------|--|
| 1. | Building type- Sandcrete Roof type- asbestos 4 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 1 toilet 8 occupants |
| 2. | Building type- Sandcrete 2 bedrooms 1 hall 1 kitchen 1 KVIP 6 occupants |
| 3. | Building type-Sandcrete 3 rooms 1 kitchen 1 KVIP 1 Bathroom 6 occupants |
| 4. | Building type-Sandcrete 3 rooms (but partly demolished) No bathroom, toilet, nor kitchen 1 occupant |
| 5. | Building type-Sandcrete 3 bedrooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 5 occupants |
| 6. | Building type-Sandcrete roof type- asbestos 5 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 8 occupants |
| 7. | Building type-Sandcrete / bricks 5 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 12 occupants |
| 8. | Building type-Sandcrete / brick Roof type- asbestos 9 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 8 occupants |

| HOUSE/FACILITY | HOUSE/FACILITY DESCRIPTION |
|----------------|--|
| 9. | Building type-Sandrete /mud Roof type- Thatch/ asbestos 3 rooms 3 kitchens 1 bathrooms 10 occupants |
| 10. | Building type-Sandcrete Roof type- Aluminium 5 rooms 1 bathroom 1 kitchen 5 occupants |
| 11. | Building type-Sandcrete Roof type- asbestos/ aluminium 3 rooms 2 kitchen 1 toilet 1 bathroom 4 occupants |
| 12. | Building type-Sandcrete/ mud Roof type- thatch/ asbestos 6 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 8 occupants |
| 13. | Building type-sandcrete Roof type- Asbestos 5 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 10 occupants |
| 14. | Building type-sandcrete Roof type- asbestos/ aluminium 9 rooms 2 kitchens 1 bathroom 5 occupants |
| 15. | Building type-sandcrete/ bricks Roof type- asbestos/ thatch 8 rooms 4 kitchens I bathrooms 13 occupants |
| 16. | Building type-bricks /mud Roof type- Thatch 3 rooms 1 kitchen |

| HOUSE/FACILITY | HOUSE/FACILITY DESCRIPTION |
|----------------|--|
| | 1 bathroom 4 occupants |
| 17. | Building type-sandcrete Roof type- asbestos 6 rooms 1 kitchen 2 bathrooms 7 occupants |
| 18. | Building type-sandcrete Roof type- thatch/ asbestos 6 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 1 toilet 10 occupants |
| 19. | Building type-sandcrete Roof type- asbestos 3 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 4 occupants |
| 20. | Building type-sandcrete/ bricks Roof type- asbestos/ bricks 5 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 5 occupants |
| 21. | Building type-sandcrete Roof type- asbestos 6 rooms 2 kitchens 1 bathroom 1 toilet 6 occupants |
| 22. | Building type-sandcrete Roof type- thatch 2 bedrooms 2 kitchens 1 bathroom 5 occupants |
| 23. | Building type- mud bricks Roof type- asbestos 2 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 2 occupants |
| 24. | Building type- sandcrete Roof type- asbestos |

| HOUSE/FACILITY | HOUSE/FACILITY DESCRIPTION |
|----------------|---|
| | 2 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 5 occupants |
| 25. | Building type- sandcrete Roof type- Asbestos 2 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 5 occupants |
| 26. | Building type- sandcrete Roof type- thatch/ asbestos 3 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 9 occupants |
| 27. | Building type- sandcrete Roof type- thatch 5 rooms 2 ktichens 2 bathrooms 10 occupants |
| 28. | Building type- sandcrete Roof type- asbestos 4 rooms 2 kitchens 2 bathrooms 19 occupants |
| 29. | Building type- mud Roof type- thatch 4 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 14 occupants |
| 30. | Building type- sandcrete Roof type- asbestos 3 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 9 occupants |
| 31. | Building type- sandcrete Roof type- asbestos/ thatch 4 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 10 occupants |
| 32. | Building type- sandcrete Roof type- thatch |

| HOUSE/FACILITY | HOUSE/FACILITY DESCRIPTION |
|----------------|---|
| | 2 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 6 occupants |
| 33. | Building type- mud Roof type- thatch 1 room 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 5 occupants |
| 34. | Building type- mud(bricks) Roof type- thatch 3 rooms 1 bathroom 1 kitchen 5 occupants |
| 35. | Building type- mud Roof type- thatch 2 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 4 occupants |
| 36. | Building type- mud Roof type- thatch 5 rooms 2 kitchens 1 bathroom 10 occupants |
| 37. | Building type- mud Roof type- thatch 7 rooms 2 kitchens 1 bathroom 8 occupants |
| 38. | Building type- sandcrete Roof type- thatch 2 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 4 occupants |
| 39. | Building type- mud Roof type- thatch 2 rooms 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 9 occupants |
| 40. | Building type- mud Roof type- thatch |

| HOUSE/FACILITY | HOUSE/FACILITY DESCRIPTION |
|----------------|--|
| | 1 room 1 kitchen 1 bathroom 5 occupants |
| 41. | Building type- bricks Roof type- thatch 2 bedrooms 1 kitchen |
| 42. | Building type- palm fronts and bricks Roof type- thatch 3 bedrooms 8 occupants |
| 43. | Building type- sandcrete/ palm fronts with thatch (4 bedrooms build with sandcrete blocks with no roof/ 1 roof built with palm fronts and roofed with thatch) 6 occupants |
| 44. | Building type- sandcrete Roof type- slate and thatch 3 bedrooms 1 kitchen 6 occupants |
| 45. | Building type- sandcrete Roof type- slate 3 bedrooms 7 occupants |
| 46. | Building type- sandcrete 8 rooms 6 occupants |
| 47. | Building type- sandcrete 3 rooms 4 occupants |
| 48. | Building type- cement blocks 4 rooms 6 occupants |
| 49. | Building type- mud 12 occupants |
| 50. | Building type- clay and bricks 3 rooms 9 occupants |
| 51. | Building type- clay and brick 3 rooms |

| HOUSE/FACILITY | HOUSE/FACILITY DESCRIPTION |
|----------------|--|
| | 5 occupants |
| 52. | Building type- wooden and cement 2 rooms 6 occupants |
| 53. | Building type- cement blocks Roof type- aluzinc 4 rooms 4 occupants |
| 54. | Building type- cement blocks |
| 55. | Building type- cement blocks 2 occupants |
| 56. | Building type- mud Roof type- thatch 1 room 5 occupants |
| 57. | Building type- cement blocks (4 rooms)/ Clay (2 destroyed) 2 occupants |
| 58. | Building type- cement blocks 3 rooms (2 destroyed) 13 occupants |
| 59. | Building type- cement blocks 4 rooms 7 occupants |
| 60. | Building type- mud and cement blocks Roof type- thatch 10 rooms 9 occupants |
| 61. | 14 rooms 20 occupants |
| 62. | Building type- cement blocks Roof type- slate roof 6 rooms 10 occupants |
| 63. | Building type- mud Roof type- thatch 2 rooms 5 occupants |
| 64. | Building type- cement blocks 4 rooms 30 occupants |
| 65. | Building type- cement blocks Roof type- thatch 3 rooms 8 occupants |
| 66. | Building type- cement blocks |

| HOUSE/FACILITY | HOUSE/FACILITY DESCRIPTION |
|----------------|--|
| | Roof type- slate 5 rooms 10 occupants |
| 67. | Building Type- Wooden Roof type- thatch 3 rooms |
| 68. | Building Type- mud and bricks Roof type- thatch 3 rooms |
| 69. | Building Type- bricks Roof type- thatch 3 rooms |
| 70. | Building Type- block Roof type- thatch 2 rooms |
| 71. | Building Type-bricks Roof type-thatch 2 rooms |
| 72. | Building Type- mud blocks Roof type- thatch |
| 73. | Building Type- blocks Roof type- thatch 2 rooms |
| 74. | Building Type- blocks Roof type- thatch |
| 75. | Building Type- blocks Roof type- thatch 4 rooms 10 occupants |
| 76. | Building Type- Block Roof type- thatch |
| 77. | Building Type- cement blocks Roof type- thatch |
| 78. | Building Type- mud Roof type- thatch |
| 79. | 8 rooms 8 occupants |
| 80. | Building type- mud with blocks Roof type- thatch 2 rooms 7 people |
| 81. | Building type- mud Roof type- thatch 3 rooms 9 occupants |
| 82. | Building type- wooden Roof type- thatch 2 rooms |

| HOUSE/FACILITY | HOUSE/FACILITY DESCRIPTION |
|----------------|--|
| | 7 occupants |
| 83. | Building type- wooden Roof type- thatch 2 rooms 1 occupant |
| 84. | Building type- mud Roof type- thatch 4 rooms 3 occupants |
| 85. | Building type- wooden 3 rooms 5 people |
| 86. | Building type- wooden and brick Roof type- roofing sheet and thatch 4 rooms 7 occupants |
| 87. | Building type- blocks Roof type- thatch 6 rooms 8 occupants |
| 88. | Building type- mud/ blocks Roof type- thatch 5 rooms 3 occupants |
| 89. | Building type- Blocks Roof type- thatch 2 rooms 8 occupants |
| 90. | Building type- mud Roof type- thatch 1 room |
| 91. | Building type- Blocks Roof type- slate 14 rooms 60 occupants |
| 92. | Building type- Sand blocks Roof thatch- thatch 10 rooms 54 occupants |
| 93. | Building type- cement blocks Roof type- thatch 7 rooms 36 people |
| 94. | Building type- Cement Roof type- slate 5 rooms 6 occupants |
| 95. | Building type- blocks |

| HOUSE/FACILITY | HOUSE/FACILITY DESCRIPTION |
|----------------|---|
| | Roof type- slate and thatch 4 rooms 6 occupants |
| 96. | Building type- cement Roof type- slate 5 rooms 5 occupants |
| 97. | Building type- cement Roof type- slate 3 occupants |
| 98. | Building Type- Cement blocks 5 rooms 12 occupants |
| 99. | Building Type- Cement block 2 rooms 2 occupants |
| 100. | Building Type- cement and brick 5 rooms 12 occupants |
| 101. | Building Type- cement 2 rooms 13 occupants |
| 102. | Building Type- cement 4 rooms 3 occupants |
| 103. | 2 Store rooms |
| 104. | 2 rooms 1 kitchen 5 occupants |
| 105. | 4 rooms 6 occupants |
| 106. | Building Type- cement 3 rooms 7 occupants |
| 107. | 6 cubicles |
| 108. | Building Type- cement 3 rooms 4 persons |
| 109. | Building Type- cement 4 rooms 5 occupants |
| 110. | Building Type- cement 2 rooms |
| 111. | Building Type- bricks 3 rooms 8 occupants |
| 112. | Building Type- bricks 1 room |

| HOUSE/FACILITY | HOUSE/FACILITY DESCRIPTION |
|----------------|---|
| | 1 occupant |
| 113. | 1 room 1 kitchen 1 bathroom, |
| 114. | Building Type- bricks 3 rooms 12 persons |
| 115. | Building Type- one block building 1 occupant |
| 116. | Building Type- blocks 3 rooms 12 occupants |
| 117. | Building Type- block 3 rooms 4 occupants |
| 118. | Building Type- blocks 2 rooms 6 occupants |
| 119. | Building Type- block 3 rooms 6 occupants |
| 120. | 1 room 5 occupants |
| 121. | Building Type- cement 18 rooms 16 occupants |
| 122. | 2 rooms 2 occupants |
| 123. | 2 rooms 7 occupants |
| 124. | Building Type- blocks 4 rooms 6 occupants |
| 125. | Building Type- block 3 rooms 3 occupants |
| 126. | Building Type- blocks 3 rooms 7 occupants |
| 127. | Building Type- block 2 rooms 5 occupants |
| 128. | Building Type- block 3 rooms 4 occupants |
| 129. | Building Type- block 6 rooms 12 occupants |

| HOUSE/FACILITY | HOUSE/FACILITY DESCRIPTION |
|----------------|--|
| 130. | Building Type- block 3 rooms 5 occupants |
| 131. | Building Type- block and brick 6 rooms 4 occupants |
| 132. | 4 rooms 4 occupants |
| 133. | Building Type- block 5 rooms 9 occupants |
| 134. | 3 rooms 5 persons |
| 135. | Building Type- cement 2 rooms |
| 136. | Building Type- cement 2 rooms |
| 137. | Building Type- cement Roof type- slate 2 rooms |
| 138. | Building Type- wooden 4 rooms 10 occupants |
| 139. | 4 rooms |
| 140. | Building Type- cement 2 rooms 5 occupants |
| 141. | Building Type- cement 6 rooms 7 occupants |
| 142. | Building Type- cement and brick 5 rooms |
| 143. | Building Type- cement 2 rooms 10 occupants |
| 144. | Building Type- cement 1 room |
| 145. | Building Type- cement 2 rooms 4 occupants |
| 146. | Building Type- cement 9 rooms 7 occupants |

CONCLUSION

The assessment revealed that about 146 houses were located in the flood zone and about 1,050 persons were affected directly by flooding in Anlo beach.

The next meetings of the DMC will discuss the necessary processes for the resettlement of the affected persons and the level of compensation for the affected persons to be relocated.